

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY SECRETARY

November 1, 2013

Superintendent Don Haddad St. Vrain Valley Schools 395 S. Pratt Parkway Longmont, CO 80501

Dear Superintendent Haddad:

I am writing in response to St. Vrain Valley School District's (St. Vrain) request to amend its approved Race to the Top - District grant project. Between June 20, 2013 and October 29, 2013, the grantee held conversations with and submitted amendment requests to the U.S. Department of Education (Department). As you are aware, the Department has the authority to approve amendments to your approved application and budget, provided that such a change does not alter the scope or objectives of the approved proposal. On March 27, 2013, the Department provided the "Scope of Work Grant Amendment Submission Process" document to grantees Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) indicating the process by which amendments would be reviewed and approved or denied. To determine whether approval could be granted, the Department has applied the conditions noted in the document, and compared it with the Race to the Top – District *Principles*, which are also included in that document.

I approve the following amendments:

• In the (A)(4) Student Outcome Measures and (E)(3) Performance Measures, St. Vrain added grades, subgroups and targets that were either missing from the application or not aligned with Colorado's Elementary and Secondary Education (ESEA) Flexibility Plan in accordance with definitions the Department provided in the Race to the Top – District application. Additionally, St. Vrain adjusted baseline data due to updated information and corresponding targets for several measures. See appendices for updated performance measures.

It is our understanding that the amendments will not substantially change the scope and objectives of the work. Please note that this letter will be posted on the Department's website as a record of the amendments.

If you need any assistance or have any questions regarding Race to the Top – District, please do not hesitate to contact St. Vrain's Race to the Top – District program officer, Cindy Savage, at 202-453-5998 or <u>Cindy.Savage@ed.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

//s//

Ann Whalen Director, Policy and Program Implementation Implementation and Support Unit

cc: Regina Renaldi

Appendix A: Revised (A)(4)(a) Performance on Summative Assessments

		Baseline					
Goal Area	Subgroup	SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
reading scores in grade 3 by SY 2016-	OVERALL	010/	82%	0.40/	0.50/	87%	89%
2017	Hispanic	81% 64%	66%	84% 69%	85% 72%	74%	77%
	•	04%	00%	09%	12%	74%	1 1 %
	American Indian/Alaska			***	***	***	***
	Native	***	***				
	Asian	83%	84%	86%	88%	89%	90%
	Black	68%	70%	71%	72%	73%	74%
	White	86%	87%	89%	90%	91%	93%
	English Language Learner	59%	60%	61%	62%	63%	64%
	Students with Disabilities	27%	27%	28%	28%	29%	29%
	Economically Disadvantaged	63%	64%	65%	66%	67%	68%
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
reading scores in grade 4 by SY 2016-							
2017	OVERALL	69%	70%	71%	73%	74%	75%
	Hispanic	43%	45%	46%	47%	49%	50%
	American Indian/Alaska	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Native						
	Asian	75%	76%	77%	79%	80%	81%
	Black	58%	59%	60%	61%	62%	63%
	White	81%	83%	84%	86%	87%	88%
	English Language Learner	39%	40%	41%	42%	43%	44%
	Students with Disabilities	21%	22%	23%	24%	25%	26%
	Economically Disadvantaged	45%	46%	47%	48%	49%	50%
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
reading scores in grade 5 by SY 2016- 2017							
2017	OVERALL	71%	72%	74%	75%	77%	78%
	Hispanic	45%	46%	48%	50%	51%	52%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native	50%	51%	52%	53%	54%	55%
	Asian	92%	93%	95%	96%	98%	99%
	Black	69%	70%	72%	73%	74%	75%
	White	82%	84%	85%	87%	88%	89%
	English Language Learner	44%	45%	47%	48%	49%	50%
	Students with Disabilities	22%	23%	24%	25%	26%	27%
	Economically Disadvantaged	49%	50%	51%	52%	53%	54%

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students							
neeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
eading scores in grade 6 by SY 2016-							
2017 the percent of students	OVERALL	75%	76%	78%	80%	81%	82%
	Hispanic	51%	52%	53%	54%	55%	56%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	90%	92%	93%	95%	96%	97%
	Black	71%	72%	73%	75%	77%	78%
	White	87%	88%	89%	91%	93%	94%
	English Language Learner	47%	48%	49%	51%	53%	54%
	Students with Disabilities	20%	22%	23%	25%	26%	27%
	Economically Disadvantaged	55%	56%	57%	59%	61%	62%
mprovement in the percent of students							
neeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP) Reading scores in grade 7 Reading by							
school year (SY)2016-2017	OVERALL	72%	73%	74%	76%	77%	78%
chool year (31)2010-2017	Hispanic	47%	48%	49%	50%	52%	54%
	American Indian/Alaska	4770	4070	4970	3070	3270	3470
	Native	53%	54%	56%	57%	58%	58%
	Asian	83%	84%	85%	86%	87%	90%
	Black	58%	59%	61%	62%	63%	63%
	White	82%	83%	85%	86%	87%	89%
	English Language Learner	41%	42%	44%	45%	46%	47%
	Student with Disabilities	20%	22%	23%	24%	24%	25%
	Economically Disadvantaged	51%	52%	53%	55%	56%	57%
mprovement in the percent of students	Beenementy Bishevantaged	5170	5270	2270	2270	2070	5770
neeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
Reading scores in grade 8 Reading by							
chool year (SY)206-2017	OVERALL	73%	74%	75%	77%	78%	79%
	Hispanic	50%	51%	52%	53%	54%	55%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	87%	89%	91%	92%	93%	94%
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		0001	0.407	0.504	0504	0001	000/
	White	83%	84%	86%	87%	88%	89%
	English Language Learner	44%	45%	46%	48%	49%	50%
	Student with Disabilities	25%	26%	27%	29%	30%	31%
	Economically Disadvantaged	53%	54%	55%	57%	58%	59%
mprovement in the percent of students							
neeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
Reading scores in grade 9 Reading by	OVERALI	720/	740/	750/	770/	700/	700/
school year (SY)2016-2017	OVERALL	73%	74%	75%	77%	78%	79%
	Hispanic	51%	53%	55%	57%	59%	60%

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	85%	86%	87%	89%	90%	91%
	Black	81%	83%	84%	85%	87%	88%
	White	82%	83%	85%	86%	88%	89%
	English Language Learner	48%	49%	50%	51%	52%	54%
	Student with Disabilities	19%	20%	21%	22%	23%	24%
	Economically Disadvantaged	51%	52%	54%	55%	56%	57%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting standards on the Transitional Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP) Reading scores in grade 10 Reading by							
school year (SY)2016-2017	OVERALL	73%	74%	75%	77%	78%	79%
	Hispanic	51%	53%	55%	57%	59%	60%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	78%	79%	81%	82%	83%	84%
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	81%	83%	84%	85%	86%	87%
	English Language Learner	41%	43%	44%	45%	46%	47%
	Students with Disabilities	17%	18%	19%	20%	21%	22%
	Economically Disadvantaged	50%	51%	52%	54%	55%	56%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL Hispanic American Indian/Alaska	71% 45%	76% 50%	77% 54%	79% 58%	81% 61%	83% 64%
	Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	86%	88%	91%	94%	96%	98%
	Black	59%	60%	62%	64%	66%	67%
	White	82%	85%	88%	90%	92%	93%
	English Language Learner	43%	44%	46%	47%	48%	49%
	Student with Disabilities	26%	27%	27%	28%	29%	30%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP	Economically Disadvantaged	46%	47%	48%	50%	51%	52%
scores in grade 4 Math by school year							
(SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	73%	75%	77%	79%	81%	82%
•	Hispanic	51%	53%	55%	57%	59%	60%
	American Indian/Alaska Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	84%	87%	89%	91%	93%	95%
							77%
	Black White	68% 84%	70% 86%	72% 88%	74% 91%	76% 93%	95%
	English Language Learner	48%	49%	51%	53%	54%	55%
	Student with Disabilities	31%	33%	34%	36%	38%	39%
	Economically Disadvantaged	51%	53%	55%	57%	58%	59%

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP							
scores in grade 5 Math by school year (SY) 2016-21017	OVERALL	64%	66%	68%	70%	72%	73%
31) 2010-21017	Hispanic	40%	41%	43%	45%	48%	50%
	American Indian/Alaska	4070	4170	4370	4370	4070	3070
	Native	38%	39%	40%	42%	44%	45%
	Asian	89%	91%	93%	95%	98%	99%
	Black	44%	46%	48%	50%	51%	52%
	White	74%	77%	79%	81%	83%	84%
	English Language Learner	40%	42%	44%	46%	47%	48%
	Student with Disabilities	18%	19%	20%	21%	22%	23%
	Economically Disadvantaged	42%	44%	46%	48%	49%	50%
mprovement in the percent of students neeting state standards on the TCAP cores in grade 6 Math by school year							
SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	60%	61%	63%	65%	67%	68%
	Hispanic	35%	37%	39%	41%	43%	44%
	American Indian/Alaska	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Native						
	Asian	79%	81%	83%	85%	87%	89%
	Black	54%	56%	58%	60%	61%	62%
	White	71%	73%	75%	77%	79%	81%
	English Language Learner	35%	37%	39%	40%	41%	42%
	Student with Disabilities Economically Disadvantaged	12% 38%	13% 39%	14%	15% 41%	16% 42%	17% 43%
mprovement in the percent of students neeting state standards on the TCAP cores in grade 7 Math by school year SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	57%	59%	61%	63%	64%	65%
31) 2010-2017	Hispanic	29%	31%	33%	35%	36%	37%
	American Indian/Alaska	2770	3170	3370	3370	3070	3770
	Native	42%	43%	45%	47%	49%	50%
	Asian	78%	80%	82%	84%	86%	88%
	Black	46%	47%	49%	51%	52%	53%
	White	68%	70%	71%	73%	75%	77%
	English Language Learner	28%	29%	30%	31%	32%	33%
	Student with Disabilities	11%	12%	13%	14%	15%	16%
	Economically Disadvantaged	32%	34%	36%	38%	39%	40%
mprovement in the percent of students neeting state standards on the TCAP cores in grade 8 Math by school year							10,0
SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	56%	58%	60%	62%	63%	64%
	Hispanic	29%	31%	33%	34%	35%	36%
	American Indian/Alaska Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	85%	87%	90%	92%	94%	95%
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	67%	69%	71%	73%	75%	76%
	English Language Learner	28%	30%	32%	33%	34%	35%
	Student with Disabilities	10%	11%	12%	13%	14%	15%

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Economically Disadvantaged	32%	34%	36%	38%	39%	40%
mprovement in the percent of students neeting state standards on the TCAP cores in grade 9 Math by school year							
SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	44%	46%	47%	49%	50%	51%
	Hispanic	18%	19%	20%	21%	22%	23%
	American Indian/Alaska Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	61%	63%	65%	67%	69%	70%
	Black	38%	40%	42%	43%	44%	45%
	White	54%	56%	58%	60%	62%	63%
	English Language Learner	20%	21%	22%	23%	24%	25%
	Student with Disabilities	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%	11%
	Economically Disadvantaged	21%	22%	23%	24%	25%	26%
mprovement in the percent of students neeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 10 Math by school year	Beonomeumy Bisadvantaged	21/0		2570	2170	2570	2070
SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	36%	37%	39%	41%	42%	43%
	Hispanic	13%	14%	15%	16%	17%	18%
	American Indian/Alaska Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	66%	68%	70%	72%	74%	75%
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	44%	45%	47%	48%	49%	50%
	English Language Learner	13%	14%	15%	16%	17%	18%
	Student with Disabilities	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	5%
	Economically Disadvantaged	14%	15%	16%	17%	18%	19%
v. Achieving increased scores in the ercent of students at or above proficiency in the ACT English scores by school year							
SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	32%	43%	45%	46%	48%	49%
	Hispanic	19%	19%	22%	25%	28%	31%
	American Indian/Alaska	atrata da	ata da da	ata da da	districts	ata da da	ativities.
	Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black						
	White	35%	39%	44%	49%	51%	52%
	English Language Learner	3%	4%	4%	5%	5%	6%
	Student with Disabilities					18%	
v. Achieving increased scores in the ercent of students at or above proficiency	Economically Disadvantaged	15%	17%	16%	17%	18%	21%
on the ACT Math scores by school year							
SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	38%	41%	42%	44%	45%	46%
	Hispanic	12%	19%	21%	24%	27%	29%
	American Indian/Alaska Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	42%	45%	49%	52%	54%	55%
	English Language Learner	10%	11%	12%	13%	14%	15%

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Student with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged	6%	7%	7%	8%	8%	9%

Appendix B: Revised (A)(4)(b) Decreasing achievement gaps

College	Identify subgroup and	Baseline	CV 2012 12	CV 2012 14	CV 2014 15	CW 2015 16	CV 2017 18
Goal Area	comparison group	SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 3 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	26%	25%	24%	22%	21%	20%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	Black Subgroup – White						
	Comparison Group	21%	20%	19%	18%	17%	16%
	English Language Learner						
I	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	31%	30%	28%	26%	25%	24%
	•	3170	3070	2070	2070	2370	2470
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	69%	67%	64%	61%	58%	55%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	27%	26%	25%	23%	22%	21%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 4 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	47%	45%	43%	41%	39%	37%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	7%	6%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	Black Subgroup – White						
	Comparison Group	28%	26%	25%	23%	22%	21%
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	52%	50%	48%	46%	44%	41%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	74%	71%	68%	65%	62%	58%

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%	34%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 5 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	49%	47%	45%	42%	40%	38%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	39%	37%	35%	33%	31%	30%
	Asian Subgroup - White	120/	110/	110/	100/	100/	00/
	Comparison Group	12%	11%	11%	10%	10%	9%
	Black Subgroup – White	1.00/	150/	1.40/	120/	120/	120/
	Comparison Group	16%	15%	14%	13%	13%	12%
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	46%	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%
	Student with Disabilities	4070	4470	4270	40%	3670	30%
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	73%	71%	68%	65%	62%	58%
	Economically Disadvantaged	7370	7170	0070	0370	0270	3070
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	40%	38%	36%	34%	32%	31%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting tate standards on the TCAP scores in rade 6 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%	31%
2010- 2017 versus winte students	American Indian/Alaska	71/0	3770	3170	3370	3370	3170
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%
	Black Subgroup – White						
	Comparison Group	18%	17%	16%	16%	15%	14%
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	46%	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White		7.10	710		- 101	54.04
	Comparison Group	77%	74%	71%	67%	64%	61%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White	270/	250/	220/	210/	200/	200/
Normanaima nama im aut	Comparison Group	37%	35%	33%	31%	30%	29%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting tate standards on the TCAP scores in							
rade 7 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White	420/	410/	200/	270/	260/	240/
016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	43%	41%	39%	37%	36%	34%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison Group	250/	220/	210/	29%	200/	270/
		35%	33%	31%	29%	28%	27%
	Asian Subgroup - White Comparison Group	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Companson Group	1 7/0	1 70	1 70	U%0	U%0	U%0

	Identify subgroup and	Baseline					
Goal Area	comparison group	SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Black Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	29%	285	27%	25%	23%	22%
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	50%	48%	46%	44%	42%	40%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	76%	74%	72%	68%	64%	60%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	38%	36%	34%	32%	30%	29%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 8 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	40%	38%	36%	34%	32%	30%
2010 2017 Versus Willie Students	American Indian/Alaska	1070	3070	2070	5.70	3270	2070
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%
	Black Subgroup - White	370	470	470	370	370	370
	Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	47%	45%	43%	41%	39%	37%
	Student with Disabilities	4/70	4370	4370	4170	3970	3170
	Subgroup - White	70%	68%	65%	62%	59%	55%
	Comparison Group	70%	08%	03%	02%	39%	33%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White	260/	250/	220/	210/	200/	200/
D : 1 : 2	Comparison Group	36%	35%	33%	31%	30%	28%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on the TCAP scores in	TT						
grade 9 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White	200/	270/	250/	2.40/	220/	200/
2016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	38%	37%	35%	34%	32%	30%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White	407	201	201	201	201	201
	Comparison Group	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%
	Black Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White		40.0				
	Comparison Group	41%	40%	38%	36%	34%	32%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	77%	75%	72%	69%	65%	61%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	38%	37%	35%	33%	31%	29%

	Identify subgroup and	Baseline					
Goal Area	comparison group	SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 10 Reading by school year (SY)	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2016- 2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%	32%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White	4				4	4
	Comparison Group	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%
	Black Subgroup - White	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White	400/	470/	450/	420/	410/	200/
	Comparison Group	49%	47%	45%	43%	41%	39%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White	700/	770/	7.40/	700/	660/	600/
	Comparison Group	79%	77%	74%	70%	66%	62%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White Comparison Group	38%	37%	35%	33%	31%	29%
Dii	Comparison Group	36%	37%	33%	33%	31%	29%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	45%	43%	41%	39%	37%	35%
2017 versus winte students	American Indian/Alaska	4370	4370	4170	3970	3170	3370
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%
	Black Subgroup - White	370	470	470	470	370	370
	Comparison Group	28%	27%	25%	24%	23%	22%
	English Language Learner	2070	27,70	25 70	2170	20,0	2270
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	48%	46%	44%	42%	40%	38%
	Student with Disabilities		1272	,.	1=/4	10,0	
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	68%	66%	64%	61%	58%	54%
	Economically Disadvantaged	0070	0070	0.170	0170	20,0	5170
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%	34%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting	***************************************						
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 4 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	39%	37%	365	34%	32%	30%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Black Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	19%	18%	17%	16%	15%	14%

	Identify subgroup and	Baseline					
Goal Area	comparison group	SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	43%	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White	6204	620/	600/	570/	~ 40/	500/
	Comparison Group	63%	62%	60%	57%	54%	50%
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	39%	38%	36%	34%	32%	30%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting	Companson Group	3970	3670	30%	3470	3270	30%
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 5 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	46%	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%
2017 Versus Winte stadents	American Indian/Alaska	1070	1170	1270	1070	3070	3070
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	49%	47%	44%	42%	40%	38%
	Asian Subgroup - White	İ					
	Comparison Group	20%	19%	18%	17%	16%	15%
	Black Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%	32%
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	46%	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	76%	74%	71%	68%	64%	60%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White	120/	410/	200/	270/	250/	220/
Dii	Comparison Group	43%	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 6 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	51%	49%	46%	44%	42%	40%
2017 versus write students	American Indian/Alaska	3170	77/0	4070	4470	4270	4070
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	11%	10%	10%	9%	9%	8%
	Black Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	24%	23%	22%	20%	195	18%
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	51%	49%	47%	45%	43%	40%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White	0004	0.107	5 00/		5404	5.504
	Comparison Group	83%	81%	79%	75%	71%	66%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White	460/	440/	420/	400/	200/	260/
	Comparison Group	46%	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting	comparison group	S1 2011-12	51 2012-13	51 2015-14	51 2014-15	51 2015-10	51 2010-17
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 7 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	57%	555	52%	49%	47%	45%
of / versus write students	American Indian/Alaska	3170	333	3270	77/0	4770	4370
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	38%	37%	35%	33%	31%	30%
	Asian Subgroup - White	3070	3170	3370	3370	3170	3070
	Comparison Group	15%	14%	13%	12%	12%	11%
	Black Subgroup - White	1570	1170	1570	1270	1270	1170
	Comparison Group	32%	315	29%	275	26%	25%
	English Language Learner	3270	313	2570	213	2070	2570
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	59%	57%	55%	53%	50%	47%
	Student with Disabilities	3770	3770	3370	3370	3070	1770
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	84%	82%	79%	75%	71%	66%
	Economically Disadvantaged	0470	0270	1770	1370	7170	0070
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	53%	51%	49%	47%	45%	42%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting	Companson Group	3370	3170	77/0	4770	7370	42/0
tate standards on the TCAP scores in							
rade 8 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
017 versus white students	Comparison Group	57%	55%	52%	49%	47%	45%
017 versus winte students	American Indian/Alaska	3170	3370	3270	4970	4770	4370
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White			1111			
		270/	26%	25%	23%	22%	21%
	Comparison Group Black Subgroup - White	27%	20%	25%	23%	22%	21%
	\mathcal{L} 1	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White	500/	5.00	5.40/	520/	400/	4.60/
	Comparison Group	58%	56%	54%	52%	49%	46%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White	0.504	0001	000/	7 - 0 /		5001
	Comparison Group	85%	83%	80%	76%	72%	68%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White			40			
	Comparison Group	52%	50%	48%	46%	44%	41%
ecreasing gaps in subgroups meeting							
ate standards on the TCAP scores in							
rade 9 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White		5.404	5404	500/	5504	500/
017 versus white students	Comparison Group	67%	64%	61%	58%	55%	52%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	13%	12%	12%	11%	11%	10%
	Black Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	30%	29%	27%	26%	24%	23%

	Identify subgroup and	Baseline					
Goal Area	comparison group	SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	63%	61%	59%	57%	54%	50%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	89%	87%	84%	80%	76%	71%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	61%	59%	57%	54%	51%	48%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on the TCAP scores in							
grade 10 Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Hispanic Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	70%	67%	64%	61%	58%	55%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native – White Comparison						
	Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	50%	48%	46%	44%	42%	39%
	Black Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	70%	68%	65%	62%	59%	55%
	Student with Disabilities						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	93%	90%	87%	83%	78%	73%
	Economically Disadvantaged						
	Subgroup - White						
	Comparison Group	68%	66%	64%	61%	58%	54%

Appendix C: Revised (A)(4)(c) Graduation rates

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
High school graduation rate	OVERALL	79%	80%	81%	82%	83%	85%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native	56%	60%	64%	68%	72%	76%
	Asian	87%	88%	89%	90%	91%	92%
	Black	77%	79%	80%	81%	82%	84%
	Hispanic	61%	64%	67%	70%	73%	76%
	White	85%	85%	86%	87%	88%	90%
	Native Hawaiian	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Multi-Racial	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	English Language Learners	65%	66%	68%	70%	71%	73%
	Students w/Disabilities	52%	53%	54%	56%	585	60%
	Economically Disadvantaged	69%	70%	71%	73%	75%	77%

Appendix D: Revised (A)(4)(d) College enrollment rates

*** Indicates less than 16 students

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
College enrollment rate (district goal							
2013-2014)	OVERALL	83%	87%	88%	89%	90%	91%
	Hispanic	67%	69%	72%	74%	76%	78%
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	87%	88%	90%	91%	92%	93%
	English Language Learner	21%	22%	23%	24%	245	25%
	Student with Disabilities	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%
	Economically Disadvantaged	22%	23%	23%	245	25%	26%

Appendix E: Revised (A)(4)(e) Postsecondary Degree Attainment

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Postsecondary degree attainment (Skyline							
high school goal)	OVERALL		Baseline	5% Improvement	6 % Improvement	7% Improvement	8% Improvement
	Hispanic		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	10% Improvement
	American Indian/Alaska						
	Native		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	10% Improvement
	Asian		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	10% Improvement
	Black		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	10% Improvement
	White		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	8% Improvement
	English Language Learner		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	10% Improvement
	Student with Disabilities		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	10% Improvement
	Economically Disadvantaged		Baseline	7% Improvement	8 % Improvement	9% Improvement	10% Improvement

Appendix F: District Minority Data

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
Reading scores in elementary Reading by							
school year (SY)2016-2017	Minority	59%	60%	61%	62%	63%	64%
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
Reading scores in middle school Reading							
by school year (SY) 2016-2017	Minority	57%	58%	59%	60%	61%	62%
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting standards on the Transitional							
Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP)							
Reading scores in high school Reading by							
school year (SY)2016-2017	Minority	55%	56%	57%	58%	59%	60%
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting state standards on the TCAP							
scores in elementary Math by school year							
(SY) 2016-2017	Minority	55%	56%	59%	60%	61%	62%
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting state standards on the TCAP							
scores in middle Math by school year (SY)							
2016-2017	Minority	40%	41%	42%	43%	44%	45%
Improvement in the percent of students							
meeting state standards on the TCAP							
scores in high Math by school year (SY)							
2016-2017	Minority	24%	25%	25%	26%	26%	27%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on TCAP scores in							
elementary school Reading by school year	Minority Subgroup – White						
(SY) 2016-2917 versus white students	Comparison Group	26%	25%	24%	22%	21%	20%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on TCAP scores in middle							
school Reading by school year (SY) 2016-	Minority Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	28%	27%	26%	25%	24%	22%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on TCAP scores in high							
school Reading by school year (SY) 2016-	Minority Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	25%	24%	22%	21%	20%	19%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on TCAP scores in		1					
elementary school Math by school year	Minority Subgroup - White	1					
(SY) 2016-2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	26%	25%	24%	23%	21%	20%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on TCAP scores in middle		1					
school Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Minority Subgroup - White	1					
2017 versus white students.	Comparison Group	31%	29%	28%	26%	25%	24%

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Dungroup	DI 2011-12	01 2012-13	DI 2013-14	DI 2014-13	DI 2015-10	DI 2010-17
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting							
state standards on TCAP scores in high							
school Math by school year (SY) 2016-	Minority Subgroup – White						
2017 versus white students	Comparison Group	29%	28%	26%	25%	23%	22%

Appendix G: Revised (E)(3) Performance Measures

Performance Measure	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students whose teacher of record (t) and principal (p) are highly effective	All participating students	633(t)(11.00%)	633(t)(11.00%)	661(t)(11.20%)	687(t)(11.40%)	686(t)(11.70%)	708(t)(11.90%)
		288(p)(5.00%)	288(p)(5.00%)	309(p)(5.30%)	331(p)(5.50%)	340(p)(5.80%)	362(p)(6.10%)
	Hispanic	297(t)(11.00%)	297(t)(11.00%)	305(t)(11.20%)	317(t)(11.40%)	316(t)(11.70%)	327(t)(11.90%)
		135(p)(5.00%)	135(p)(5.00%)	142(p)(5.30%)	152(p)(5.50%)	157(p)(5.80%)	167(p)(6.10%)
	American Indian/Alaska Native	4(t)(11.00%)	4(t)(11.00%)	4(t)(11.20%)	4(t)(11.40%)	4(t)(11.70%)	4(t)(11.90%)
		2(p)(5.00%)	2(p)(5.00%)	2(p)(5.30%)	2(p)(5.50%)	2(p)(5.80%)	2(p)(6.10%)
	Asian	13(t)(11.00%)	13(t)(11.00%)	155(t)(11.20%)	16(t)(11.40%)	16(t)(11.70%)	17(t)(11.90%)
		6(p)(5.00%)	6(p)(5.00%)	7(p)(5.30%)	8(p)(5.50%)	8(p)(5.80%)	9(p)(6.10%)
	Black	6(t)(11.00%)	6(t)(11.00%)	8(t)(11.20%)	8(t)(11.40%)	8(t)(11.70%)	8(t)(11.90%)
		3(p)(5.00%)	3(p)(5.00%)	4(p)(5.30%)	4(p)(5.50%)	4(p)(5.80%)	4(p)(6.10%)
	White	313(t)(11.00%)	313(t)(11.00%)	328(t)(11.20%)	340(t)(11.40%)	342(t)(11.70%)	352(t)(11.90%)
		142(p)(5.00%)	142(p)(5.00%)	155(p)(5.30%)	164(p)(5.50%)	169(p)(5.80%)	180(p)(6.10%)
	English Language Learners	290(t)(11.00%)	290(t)(11.00%)	295(t)(11.20%)	301(t)(11.40%)	308(t)(11.70%)	314(t)(11.90%)
		145(p)(5.00%)	145(p)(5.00%)	139(p)(5.30%)	145(p)(5.50%)	153(p)(5.80%)	161(p)(6.10%)
	Students with Disabilities	57(t)(11.00%)	57(t)(11.00%)	59(t)(11.20%)	60(t)(11.40%)	63(t)(11.70%)	64(t)(11.90%)
		26(p)(5.00%)	26(p)(5.00%)	28(p)(5.30%)	29(p)(5.50%)	31(p)(5.80%)	33(p)(6.10%)
	Economically Disadvantaged	373(t)(11.00%)	373(t)(11.00%)	386(t)(11.20%)	401(t)(11.40%)	412(t)(11.70%)	427(t)(11.90%)
		170(p)(5.00%)	170(p)(5.00%)	183(p)(5.30%)	193(p)(5.50%)	204(p)(5.80%)	219(p)(6.10%)

Performance Measure	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students whose teacher of record (t) and principal (p) are effective	All participating students	5,037(t)(75%)	5,037(t)(75%)	5,004(t)(85%)	5,700(t)(95%)	5,880(t)(100%)	5,950(t)(100%)
		5,037(p)(75%)	4,318(p)(75%)	4,636(p)(78.80%)	5,700(p)(95%)	5,880(p)(100%)	5,950(p)(100%)
	Hispanic	2,363(t)(75%)	2,363(t)(75%)	2,307(t)(85%)	2,628(t)(95%)	2,711(t)(100%)	2,743(t)(100%)
		2,363(p)(75%)	2,025(p)(75%)	2,137(p)(78.80%)	2,628(p)(95%)	2,711(p)(100%)	2,743(p)(100%)

Performance Measure	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	American Indian/Alaska Native	26(t)(75%)	26(t)(75%)	33(t)(85.00%)	33(t)(95%)	36(t)(100%)	35(t)(100%)
		26(p)(75%)	26(p)(75%)	31(p)(78.80%)	33(p)(95%)	36(p)(100%)	35(p)(100%)
	Asian	89(t)(75%)	89(t)(75%)	118(t)(85%)	133(t)(95%)	138(t)(100%)	141(t)(100%)
		89(p)(75%)	89(p)(75%)	109(p)(78.80%)	133(p)(95%)	138(p)(100%)	141(p)(100%)
	Black	43(t)(75%)	43(t)(75%)	56(t)(85%)	67(t)(95%)	69(t)(100%)	70(t)(100%)
		43(p)(75%)	43(p)(75%)	55(p)(78.80%)	67(p)(95%)	69(p)(100%)	70(p)(100%)
	White	2,135(t)(75%)	2,135(t)(75%)	2,486(t)(85%)	2,835(t)(95%)	2,926(t)(100%)	2,961(t)(100%)
		2,135(p)(75%)	2,135(p)(75%)	2,305(p)(78.80%)	2,835(p)(95%)	2,926(p)(100%)	2,961(p)(100%)
	English Language Learners	1,981(t)(75%)	1,981(t)(75%)	2,245(t)(85%)	2,509(t)(95%)	2,641(t)(100%)	2,641(t)(100%)
		1,981(p)(75%)	1,981(p)(75%)	2,081(p)(78.80%)	2,509(p)(95%)	2,641(p)(100%)	2,641(p)(100%)
	Students with Disabilities	388(t)(75%)	388(t)(75%)	448(t)(85%)	508(t)(95%)	535(t)(100%)	535(t)(100%)
		388(p)(75%)	388(p)(75%)	416(p)(78.80%)	508(p)(95%)	535(p)(100%)	535(p)(100%)
	Economically Disadvantaged	2,544(t)(75%)	2,544(t)(75%)	2,935(t)(85%)	3,348(t)(95%)	3,525(t)(100%)	3,595(t)(100%)
		2,544(p)(75%)	2,544(p)(75%)	2,721(p)(78.80%)	3,348(p)(95%)	3,525(p)(100%)	3,595(p)(100%)

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Reading by school year (SY) 2016-2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	38%	35%	32%	30%	29%	27%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%	31%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 4 Reading by school year (SY) 2016—2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	52%	49%	46%	43%	40%	39%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	67%	65%	63%	60%	56%	51%

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	43%	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 5 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	52%	49%	46%	43%	40%	39%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	55%	53%	51%	49%	46%	43%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	36%	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	44%	42%	40%	38%	36%	34%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 6 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	53%	50%	47%	44%	42%	40%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	56%	54%	52%	50%	48%	46%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	49%	47%	45%	43%	41%	38%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 7 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	50%	47%	44%	41%	39%	37%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	53%	51%	49%	47%	44%	41%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	46%	44%	42%	40%	37%	34%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 8 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	50%	47%	44%	41%	39%	39%
versus wine statems	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup–White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	55%	53%	51%	48%	45%	41%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	48%	46%	44%	41%	38%	35%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 9 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	45%	43%	39%	36%	34%	33%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	52%	50%	48%	45%	43%	39%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	45%	43%	41%	39%	36%	33%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 10 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	57%	54%	50%	47%	45%	43%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	62%	60%	57%	54%	51%	47%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	52%	50%	48%	45%	42%	39%

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	52%	49%	46%	44%	41%	39%
white students	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 4 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	48%	46%	43%	40%	37%	35%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	23%	22%	21%	20%	19%	17%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	21%	20%	19%	18%	17%	15%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 5 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	51%	48%	45%	42%	40%	38%
white students	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	28%	27%	26%	25%	23%	21%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
D	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	15%	14%	13%	12%	11%	10%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 6 Math by SY 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	66%	63%	59%	55%	52%	49%

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	70%	67%	64%	60%	56%	52%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	93%	90%	86%	81%	76%	71%
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	64%	62%	59%	56%	52%	48%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 7 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	52%	50%	48%	46%	44%	42%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	54%	52%	49%	46%	43%	41%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group (n =15)	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	44%	41%	39%	37%	35%	33%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 8 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	57%	54%	51%	48%	45%	43%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	61%	59%	56%	52%	49%	46%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	66%	64%	61%	58%	54%	50%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP acrores in grade 9 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	68%	65%	61%	57%	54%	51%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	75%	73%	70%	66%	62%	58%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	86%	83%	79%	74%	69%	64%
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	68%	66%	63%	60%	56%	52%
Decreasing the gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 10 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	78%	73%	68%	64%	61%	58%
	American Indian/Alaska Native – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black Subgroup – White Comparison Group	***	***	***	***	***	***
	English Language Learner Subgroup - White Comparison Group	83%	80%	77%	73%	68%	62%
	Student with Disabilities Subgroup - White Comparison Group	89%	86%	82%	78%	73%	67%
	Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup - White Comparison Group	72%	69%	66%	62%	57%	52%

Performance Measure (Grades PreK-3 – a, b)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	3 rd Grade Reading	All participating students	74%	75%	77%	80%	82%	84%
		Hispanic	60%	62%	64%	66%	69%	71%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	***	***	***	***	***	***
		English Language Learner	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	51%	53%	55%	57%	58%	59%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	3 rd Grade Math	All participating Students	56%	60%	64%	68%	71%	73%
		Hispanic	41%	44%	48%	51%	54%	57%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***

Performance Measure (Grades PreK-3 – a, b)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	***	***	***	***	***	***
		English Language Learner	37%	39%	42%	44%	46%	49%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	38%	40%	43%	45%	47%	50%
A decrease in the percent of students who experience an in- school or out-of school suspension by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	K-3 rd Grade Suspensions	All participating students	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
		Hispanic	5%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
		English Language Learner	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
		Students with Disabilities	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
		Economically Disadvantaged	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

Performance Measure	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students Who are on track to college- and career-readiness based on the applicant's on-track indicator	6 th grade						
	All participating students	180 (49%)	195 (51%)	211 (54%)	226 (57%)	247 (60%)	270 (64%)
	Hispanic	74 (33%)	82 (35%)	91 (37%)	104 (40%)	115 (42%)	124 (44%)
	American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black)	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	102 (80%)	113 (83%)	125 (87%)	139 (91%)	151 (94%)	160 (96%)
	English Language Learners	48 (30%)	56 (33%)	63 (35%)	69 (36%)	77 (38%)	83 (40%)
	Students with Disabilities	2 (5%)	3 (6%)	4 (7%)	5 (8%)	6 (9%)	7 (9%)
	Economically Disadvantaged	33 (14%)	37 (15%)	40 (16%)	43 (17%)	46 (17%)	50 (19%)
	7th grade	•	•				
	All participating students	154 (43%)	167 (45%)	182 (48%)	196 (50%)	213 (53%)	227 (55%)
	Hispanic	59 (30%)	66 (32%)	74 (34%)	83 (36%)	89 (37%)	94 (38%)
	American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		l	l		l .	2.4	

Performance Measure	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	89 (61%)	100 (64%)	114 (68%)	127 (72%)	139 (75%)	161 (79%)
	English Language Learner	45 (28%)	51 (30%)	56 (32%)	64 (35%)	69 (36%)	73 (37%)
	Students with Disabilities	1 (4%)	2 (5%)	3 (6%)	4 (7%)	5 (8%)	6 (8%)
	Economically Disadvantaged	26 (13%)	28 (14%)	31 (15%)	34 (16%)	38 (17%)	44 (19%)
	8 th grade						
	All participating students	161 (47%)	174 (49%)	189 (52%)	204 (55%)	219 (57%)	234 (60%)
	Hispanic	57 (32%)	66 (34%)	75 (37%)	83 (39%)	90 (41%)	97 (42%)
	American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
	Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
	White	96 (68%)	108 (72%)	122 (76%)	138 (81%)	151 (84%)	165 (88%)
	English Language Learner	38 (28%)	43 (30%)	48 (32%)	54 (34%)	60 (37%)	67 (39%)
	Students with Disabilities	1 (4%)	2 (6%)	3 (7%)	4 (7%)	5 (8%)	6 (8%)
	Economically Disadvantaged	25 (13%)	27 (14%)	29 (14%)	31 (15%)	35 (17%)	37 (18%)

Performance Measure (Grades 4-8 -b, c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 4 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	4 th Grade Reading	All participating students	44%	46%	49%	51%	54%	57%
		Hispanic	39%	41%	44%	46%	48%	51%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	81%	85%	89%	93%	96%	99%
		English Language Learner	27%	29%	30%	31%	33%	35%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	46%	49%	52%	55%	57%	60%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 5 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 - 2017	5 th Grade Reading	All participating students	51%	54%	57%	60%	63%	66%
		Hispanic	39%	42%	45%	47%	49%	51%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***

Performance Measure (Grades 4-8 -b, c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	82%	85%	89%	93%	96%	99%
		English Language Learner	37%	39%	42%	44%	46%	48%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	46%	49%	52%	55%	57%	60%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 6 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	6 th Grade Reading	All participating students	57%	60%	64%	67%	70%	74%
		Hispanic	42%	45%	48%	51%	53%	55%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	89%	91%	93%	95%	97/5	99%
		English Language Learner	39%	41%	44%	47%	49%	51%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	45%	48%	51%	53%	56%	59%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 7 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	7 th Grade Reading	All participating students	61%	65%	69%	73%	76%	79%
		Hispanic	37%	39%	42%	44%	46%	48%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	74%	79%	84%	88%	92%	96%
		English Language Learner	35%	37%	39%	41%	43%	46%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	40%	42%	45%	48%	50%	52%

Performance Measure (Grades 4-8 -b, c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 8 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	8 th Grade Reading	All participating students	54%	57%	60%	63%	66%	70%
		Hispanic	38%	40%	43%	46%	48%	50%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	76%	81%	86%	91%	95%	99%
		English Language Learner	34%	36%	38%	40%	42%	44%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	40%	43%	46%	48%	50%	52%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 4 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	4 th Grade Math	All participating students	52%	55%	58%	61%	65%	68%
2.11 300.00 m g. auc . 1.1 0, 381.001 Juni (0.27, 2010 - 2017		Hispanic	44%	47%	50%	52%	55%	57%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	52%	55%	58%	61%	64%	68%
		English Language Learner	40%	42%	45%	47%	50%	52%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	41%	43%	45%	47%	50%	53%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 5 Math by SY 2016-2017	5 th Grade Math	All participating students	47%	50%	53%	56%	59%	61%
·		Hispanic	36%	38%	40%	43%	45%	47%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	****	****	***
		White	47%	50%	53%	55%	58%	61%

Performance Measure (Grades 4-8 –b, c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
		English Language Learner	34%	36%	38%	40%	42%	44%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	40%	43%	46%	48%	50%	52%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 6 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	6 th Grade Math	All participating students	43%	45%	48%	51%	53%	56%
		Hispanic	25%	27%	29%	31%	33%	35%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	74%	78%	83%	87%	92%	96%
		English Language Learner	22%	23%	25%	26%	28%	29%
		Students with Disabilities	5%	5%	6%	7%	8%	8%
		Economically Disadvantaged	27%	28%	30%	32%	33%	35%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 7 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	7 th Grade Math	All participating students	34%	36%	38%	40%	42%	44%
		Hispanic	23%	25%	27%	28%	29%	30%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	48%	51%	54%	57%	60%	62%
		English Language Learner	22%	23%	25%	26%	27%	29%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	27%	28%	30%	31%	33%	35%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 8 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	8 th Grade Math	All participating students	41%	44%	47%	49%	52%	54%
		Hispanic	26%	27%	29%	30%	32%	34%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***

Performance Measure (Grades 4-8 -b, c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	61%	64%	67%	71%	75%	79%
		English Language Learner	24%	25%	27%	28%	29%	31%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	21%	22%	24%	26%	27%	28%
A decrease in the percent of students who experience an in-school or out-of-school suspension for all students by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	4 th – 8 th Grade Suspension	All participating students	10%	9%	9%	9%	8%	8%
		Hispanic	12%	11%	11%	10%	10%	10%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%
		English Language Learner	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	4%	4%	3%	2%	1%	1%

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -a)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students who complete and submit the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) form	Grades 11 – 12	All participating students	23 (7%)	42 (12%)	60 (17%)	74 (21%)	90 (25%)	103 (28%)
		Hispanic	11 (7%)	19 (12%)	28 (17%)	34 (21%)	41 (25%)	47 (28%)

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -b)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students, by subgroup, who are on track to college- and career-readiness based on the applicant's on-track indicator. St. Vrain will achieve an increase for all students for college and career readiness based on the ACT assessment. Students in Colorado take the ACT in grade 11.	Grades 11 ACT	All participating students	137 (57%)	160 (62%)	187 (67%)	216 (72%)	240 (76%)	259 (80%)
		Hispanic	31 (30%)	47 (42%)	63 (52%)	77 (59%)	90 (65%)	105 (71%)
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	60 (70%)	69 (75%)	80 (80%)	92 (85%)	105 (90%)	120 (96%)
		English Language Learner	2 (6%)	3 (8%)	4 (9%)	5 (10%)	6 (11%)	7 (12%)
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	***	***	***	***	***	***

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Applicant must propose at least one measure of career-readiness in order to assess the number and percentage of participating students who are or are on track to being career-ready. [Number and percent of students with a combined TCAP score meeting At Average or Above will increase by SY 2016 – 2017.]	Grade 9, 10 Math and Literacy Participating Students	9 th grade						
		All participating students		170 (45%)	200 (49%)	220 (50%)	242 (52%)	275 (55%)
		Hispanic	49 (27%)	65 (34%)	79 (39%)	90 (43%)	105 (47%)	120 (52%)
			***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	107 (58%)	120 (62%)	137 (67%)	155 (71%)	170 (73%)	188 (77%)
		English Language Learner	33 (23%)	37 (24%)	44 (26%)	48 (27%)	54 (29%)	59 (30%)
		Students with Disabilities	2 (6%)	2 (6%)	3 (7%)	4 (9%)	5 (10%)	6 (10%)
		Economically Disadvantaged	44 (30%)	52 (33%)	60 (35%)	65 (36%)	72 (38%)	79 (39%)
		10 th grade						

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
		All participating students	120 (37%)	140 (41%)	162 (44%)	178 (46%)	193 (47%)	209 (49%)
		Hispanic	36 (21%)	45 (24%)	55 (28%)	66 (33%)	77 (36%)	89 (40%)
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	98 (56%)	110 (60%)	127 (65%)	140 (68%)	155 (72%)	167 (74%)
		English Language Learner	24 (18%)	28 (19%)	31 (20%)	36 (22%)	40 (23%)	45 (25%)
		Students with Disabilities	2 (8%)	3 (10%)	4 (11%)	5 (13%)	6 (14%)	7 (15%0
		Economically Disadvantaged	39 (30%)	45 (32%)	51 (34%)	58 (36%)	65 (37%)	74 (40%)

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -d, e)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Achieving increased scores in the percent of students at or above proficiency on the ACT English scores by school year (SY) 2016 - 2017	11 th – 12 th Grade English	All participating students	56%	58%	61%	64%	66%	68%
		Hispanic	35%	36%	38%	39%	41%	43%
		American Indian		***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	75%	78%	81%	85%	88%	91%
		English Language Learner	3%	3%	4%	4%	5%	5%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	***	***	***	***	***	***
Achieving increased scores in the percent of students at or above proficiency on the ACT Math scores by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	11 th – 12 th Grade Math	All participating students	27%	27%	29%	30%	31%	33%
		Hispanic	9%	11%	14%	17%	19%	22%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -d, e)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	63%	65%	68%	70%	73%	76%
		English Language Learner	10%	10%	11%	11%	12%	13%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	***	***	***	***	***	***
A decrease in the percent of students who experience an inschool or out-of school suspension for all students by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	9 th – 12 th Grade Suspension	All participating students	7%	6%	5%	5%	4%	4%
		Hispanic	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%
		American Indian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Asian	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Black	***	***	***	***	***	***
		White	5%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%
		English Language Learner	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
		Students with Disabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***
		Economically Disadvantaged	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%

Appendix H: Original (A)(4)(a) Performance on Summative Assessments *** Indicates less than 16 students

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting standards on the Transitional Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP) reading scores in grade 3 by school year (SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	81%	81%	82%	84%	85%	87%
	Hispanic	65%	64%	66%	69. %	72%	74%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	74%	71%	76%	77%	79%	81%
	Hispanic	56%	45%	50%	54%	58%	61%

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting standards on the Transitional Colorado Assessment Program (TCAP) Reading scores in grade 8 Reading by school year (SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	73%	74%	77%	79%	81%	82%
	Hispanic	48%	50%	52%	56%	60%	63%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 8 Math by school year (SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	61%	57%	63%	64%	66%	68%
	Hispanic	35%	30%	35%	39%	420%	46%
Achieving increased scores in the percent of students at or above proficiency on the ACT English scores by school year (SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	32%	43%	45%	46%	48%	49%
	Hispanic	19%	19%	22%	25%	28%	31%
Achieving increased scores in the percent of students at or above proficiency on the ACT Math scores by school year (SY) 2016-2017	OVERALL	40%	38%	41%	42%	44%	45%
	Hispanic	14%	12%	19%	21%	24%	27%

Appendix I: Original (A)(4)(b) Decreasing Achievement Gaps

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Decreasing the gaps in Hispanic students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Reading by school year (SY) 2016- 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	22%	22%	21%	19%	18%	17%
Decreasing gaps in Hispanic students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016- 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	27%	38%	33%	31%	28%	26%
Decreasing gaps in Hispanic students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 8 Reading by school year (SY) 2016- 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	35%	34%	32%	30%	27%	25%
Decreasing gaps in subgroups meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 8 Math by school year (SY) 2016-2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	36%	37%	36%	33%	31%	29%

Appendix J: Original (A)(4)(c) Graduation rates

*** Indicates less than 16 students

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
High school graduation rate	OVERALL	79%		80%	81%	82%	83%
	American Indian	56%		60%	64%	68%	72%
	Asian	87%		88%	89%	90%	91%
	Black	77%		79%	80%	81%	82%
	Hispanic	61%		64%	67%	70%	73%
	White	85%		85%	86%	87%	88%
	Native Hawaiian	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%
	Multi-Racial	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%

Appendix K: Original (A)(4)(d) College enrollment rates

		Baseline					
Goal Area	Subgroup	SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
College enrollment rate (district goal 2013-2014)	OVERALL	84%	83%	87%	88%	89%	90%
	Hispanic	60%	67%	69%	72%	74%	76%

Appendix L: Original (A)(4)(e) Postsecondary Degree Attainment

Goal Area	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Postsecondary degree attainment (Skyline high school goal)	OVERALL		Baseline	Not effected in this cohort	Not effected in this cohort	Not effected in this cohort	8% Improvement
	Hispanic		Baseline	Not effected in this cohort	Not effected in this cohort	Not effected in this cohort	10% Improvement

Appendix M: Original (E)(3) Performance Measures

Performance Measure	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students whose teacher of record (t) and principal (p) are highly effective	All participating students	633(t)(11.00%)	633(t)(11.00%)	661(t)(11.20%)	687(t)(11.40%)	686(t)(11.70%)	708(t)(11.90%)
		288(p)(5.00%)	288(p)(5.00%)	309(p)(5.30%)	331(p)(5.50%)	340(p)(5.80%)	362(p)(6.10%)
	Hispanic	297(t)(11.00%)	297(t)(11.00%)	305(t)(11.20%)	317(t)(11.40%)	316(t)(11.70%)	327(t)(11.90%)
		135(p)(5.00%)	135(p)(5.00%)	142(p)(5.30%)	152(p)(5.50%)	157(p)(5.80%)	167(p)(6.10%)

Performance Measure	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students whose teacher of record (t) and principal (p) are effective	All participating students	5,037(t)(75%)	5,037(t)(75%)	5,004(t)(85%)	5,700(t)(95%)	5,880(t)(100%)	5,950(t)(100%)
		5,037(p)(75%)	4,318(p)(75%)	4,636(p)(78.80%)	5,700(p)(95%)	5,880(p)(100%)	5,950(p)(100%)
	Hispanic	2,363(t)(75%)	2,363(t)(75%)	2,307(t)(85%)	2,628(t)(95%)	2,711(t)(100%)	2,743(t)(100%)
		2,363(p)(75%)	2,025(p)(75%)	2,137(p)(78.80%)	2,628(p)(95%)	2,711(p)(100%)	2,743(p)(100%)

Goal Area	Identify subgroup and comparison group	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Decreasing the gaps in Hispanic students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Reading by school year (SY) 2016-2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	22%	21%	19%	18%	17%	15%
Decreasing the gaps in Hispanic students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017 versus white students	Hispanic Subgroup – White Comparison Group	30%	33%	31%	28%	26%	24%

Performance Measure (Grades PreK-3 – a, b)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	3 rd Grade Reading	All participating students	74%	75%	77%	80%	82%	84%
		Hispanic	60%	62%	65%	68%	71%	74%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on the TCAP scores in grade 3 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	3 rd Grade Math	All participating Students	56%	70%	72%	75%	77%	79%

Performance Measure (Grades PreK-3 – a, b)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
		Hispanic	41%	54%	58%	61%	64%	670%
A decrease in the percent of students who experience an inschool or out-of school suspension by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	K-3 rd Grade Suspensions	All participating students	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
		Hispanic	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%

Performance Measure (Grades 4-8)	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students Who are on track to college- and career-readiness based on the applicant's on-track indicator	All participating students	106 (34%)	155 (34.90%)	165(36.30%)	175 (37.70%)	179 (39.20%)	188 (40.80%)
	Hispanic	14 (21%)	46 (22.10%)	50 (23.40%)	54 (24.80%)	56 (26.30%)	63 (27.90%)

Performance Measure (Grades 4-8 –b, c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 8 Reading by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	8 th Grade Reading	All participating students	56%	70%	72%	75%	77%	79%
		Hispanic	38%	54%	57%	61%	64%	67%
Improvement in the percent of students meeting state standards on TCAP scores in grade 8 Math by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	8 th Grade Math	All participating students	45%	50%	52%	54%	56%	58%
		Hispanic	28%	31%	35%	38%	41%	44%
A decrease in the percent of students who experience an in-school or out-of-school suspension for all students by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	4 th – 8 th Grade Suspension	All participating students	13%	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%
		Hispanic	12.90%	12%	12%	11%	11%	11%

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -a)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students who complete and submit the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) form	Grades 11 – 12	All participating students	23 (7%)	42 (12%)	60 (17%)	74 (21%)	90 (25%)	103 (28%)
		Hispanic		19 (12%)	28 (17%)	34 (21%)	41 (25%)	47 (28%)

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -b)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Number and percentage of participating students, by subgroup, who are on track to college- and career-readiness based on the applicant's on-track indicator. St. Vrain will achieve a 97% increase for all students and a 200% increase for Hispanic students for college and career readiness based on the ACT assessment.		All participating students	48 (14%)	42 (12%)	60 (17%)	74 (21%)	90 (25%)	103 (28%)
		Hispanic	11 (7%)	15 (9%)	20 (12%)	24(15%)	30 (18%)	36 (21%)

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -c)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Applicant must propose at least one measure of career-readiness in order to assess the number and percentage of participating students who are or are on track to being career-ready. [Number and Percent of students with a combined TCAP score meeting At Average or Above will increase to 99.9% participation in 2012-2013 and combined scores for all students will increase by 31.9% and for Hispanic students will increase by 93.5% by SY 2016-2017		All participating students	36(14%)	54 (15.40%)	61 (16.60%)	63 (17.90%)	69 (19.40%)	77 (20.90%)
·		Hispanic	1 (1%)	5 (3.10%)	17 (10.10%)	22 (13.70%)	24 (14.50%)	169 (15.40%)

Performance Measure (Grades 9-12 -d, e)	Applicable Population	Subgroup	Baseline SY 2011-12	SY 2012-13	SY 2013-14	SY 2014-15	SY 2015-16	SY 2016-17
Achieving increased scores in the percent of students at or above proficiency on the ACT English scores by school year (SY) 2016 - 2017	11 th – 12 th Grade English	All participating students	32%	35%	37%	39%	40%	42%
		Hispanic	17%	19%	22%	25%	28%	30%
Achieving increased scores in the percent of students at or above proficiency on the ACT Math scores by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	11 th – 12 th Grade Math	All participating students	27%	27%	29%	30%	32%	33%
		Hispanic	9%	11%	14%	17%	19%	22%
A decrease in the percent of students who experience an inschool or out-of school suspension for all students by school year (SY) 2016 – 2017	9 th – 12 th Grade Suspension	All participating students	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%
		Hispanic	9%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%